AID 1020-25 (10-70)

PD-AAA-823-A1

REPORT U-446

PAGE 1

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

6170012 (5)

1. PROJECT NO.	2. PAR FOR PERIOD:	3. COUNTRY	4. PAR SERIAL NO.
617-11-110-012	7/1/71 TO 6/30/72	Uganda	

5. PROJECT TITLE

Agricultural Extension

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 62 Ends FY 73	7. DATE LATEST PROP. Rev.# 1, 3/12/71	8. DATE LATEST PIP June 1, 1969	9. DATE PRIOR PAR Sept 16, 1971

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: $2,212,000	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: $ 215,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: $ None

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
None	

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X) USAID	AID/W	HOST	B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
			The PROP describes this project as an institution-building activity with a production element. Phase I of a Baseline Study was completed in the summer of 1969. Production statistics will be available for comparison with non E.S.P. areas when the final Phase of the Baseline Study is completed February 1973.	
		X	1. G.O.U. should post a full-time Agricultural Assistant (A.A.) in each Extension Saturation Project.	Dec. 31, 1972
		X	2. G.O.U. should issue a policy decision defining the role of Extension Saturation in the Agricultural Extension activity after June 30, 1973.	As soon as possible

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES REVISED OR NEW: ☐ PROP ☐ PIP ☐ PRO AG ☐ PIO/T ☐ PIO/C ☐ PIO/P

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE Leonard D. Brooks

MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE Vernon C. Johnson 8/22/72

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 2 PAR	PROJECT NO. 617-11-110-012	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO 7/1/71 to 6/30/72	COUNTRY Uganda	PAR SERIAL NO.

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT / CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN: UNSATISFACTORY 1	2	SATISFACTORY 3	4	5	OUTSTANDING 6	7	C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X): LOW 1	MEDIUM 2	3	4	HIGH 5
1. None												
2.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING						X						X

Comment on key factors determining rating

The participant training element of the project was implemented as planned. U.S. trained participants have returned and are working with the project. Each U.S. Extension Advisor works with a counterpart trained in extension methods and supervision. Three returned participants have been promoted to administrative positions at the national level.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
5. COMMODITIES					X				X			

Comment on key factors determing rating

Very few commodities were required during this reporting period. The main source of demonstration materials and supplies was provided by the Ministry of Agriculture.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X								X
	b. OTHER			X									X

Comment on key factors determining rating

A. Personnel:

Although most of the Extension Saturation Project personnel were provided there were less than ½ of the Agricultural Assistants (AA) working full time in the ESP areas where each was supposed to have one full time A.A. This is most important for achieving project purposes as incentives for the farmers are a result of an intensive field service method and result demonstration activity.

B. Other:

Transportation, travel allowances, and housing for G.O.U. field staff were not adequat However, GOU did provide funds for demonstration materials, supplies, and continued-

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
7. OTHER DONORS												

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-25(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 617-11-110-012	PAR FOR PERIOD 7/1/71 - 6/30/72	COUNTRY Uganda	PAR SERIAL NO.

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY 73	CURRENT FY 72 TO DATE	CURRENT FY 72 TO END	FY 73	FY ___	END OF PROJECT
Extension Saturation Projects (ESP's) operating	PLANNED	120	120	130	130		130
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	140	140				
	REPLANNED				145		145
One full time Agricultural Assistant in each ESP. (Original plan was to have one man half time for each ESP)	PLANNED	40	100	100	130		130
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	140	140				
	REPLANNED			140	140		140
National, Regional and District Extension Management Supervisors trained in U.S. to degree level and on the job.	PLANNED	5	6	6			6
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	5	8				
	REPLANNED				8		8
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						

B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:
1. Result and method demonstrations are showing farmers that modern practices increase yields and profit.	Nine thousand result and method demonstrations were conducted in the ESPs this period and many farmers are adopting the new techniques e.g. fertilizer use is rapidly increasing, planting in rows, mulching to control weeds, use of insecticides and herbicides.
2. Local leadership is being developed through widespread family participation in Extension activities..	Adult women and adult men's farm clubs are formed in nearly every ESP. The Young Farmers of Uganda Organization is in nearly all farming communities and membership grew by 17,000 in 1971 to over 59,000 and the number of volunteer leaders increased from 2,916 to 3,887.
3.	Nearly 100 one-week courses were conducted at District Farm Institutes to train 3,000 farmers in the use of improved technology, credit and marketing.

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 4 PAR	PROJECT NO. 617-11-110-012	PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/1/71 to 6/30/72	COUNTRY Uganda	PAR SERIAL NO.

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? ☑ YES ☐ NO

To establish an effective Agricultural Extension Service which will increase production.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
A well-trained ESP extension staff bringing research results to the farmers and providing the incentive for increasing Agricultural Production.	1. 140 Extension Saturation Projects are established & operating throughout Uganda. 2. The Extension Service & specialty staff has increased field staff from about 300 in 1963 to nearly 1,400 in 1971. 3. All extension staff working on ESP Activity received specialized training of the District Farm Institutes. The several courses included technical subject matter on crop production, program planning, extension teaching methods & program implementation. 4. Seven M.Sc and B.Sc Ugandan Regional & National Extension Advisors returned & work with U.S. advisors & the ESP activity. 5. Over 1,000 result demonstration showing the value of using improved practices on farms. 6. Over 3,000 organized courses provided training to 102,000 farm people.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

Diversify and increase agricultural production and expand the rural economy.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Prior to independence there was no Extension Service philosophy as the agricultural field service was a regulatory organization.

The formation of the Extension Service and the inculcation of the idea that farmers will produce with proper motivation and incentives was only started in 1963. The entire field staff was composed of about 300 members.

The extension organization has been established more than quadrupled in size and upgraded by employing agricultural college graduates and in-service training. With a greater availability of production inputs and improved marketing conditions for the farmer, increased production and diversification should result. The statistical data required to analyze the production effect of the Saturation Program will be compiled during the

continued --

PAR CONTINUATION SHEET

This sheet is to be used for any Narrative Sections for which sufficient space has not been provided on the form. Identify each narrative by its Part and Section Designation.

Continued --

Page 2: 6. B. Other

equipment. The G.O.U. policy of posting Extension Officers to part-time General Extension Service duties and to part-time ESP priority and has adversely affected the project implementation rate.

Page 4: V. B. Programming Goal

first one-half of FY 1973 when the final phase of the ESP Baseline Evaluation will be completed. However, observation of the existing ESP areas indicates that a marked effect on production is attributable to the extension program.