



USAID | **AFGHANISTAN**
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

SHEBERGHAN GAS GENERATION ACTIVITY (SGGA)

Contract No. EPP-I-00-03-00004-00, USAID Task Order No. AID-306-TO-12-00002

SGGA Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan Report

October 31, 2014

Prepared by:

Advanced Engineering Associates International, Inc. (AEAI)/SGGA Project Team
1707 L Street, NW, Suite 1030, Washington, DC (USA) 20036
Telephone: 1-202-263-0601

This SGGA Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan Report is made possible by support from the American People jointly sponsored by United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The contents of this SGGA Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP) Report were prepared by Advanced Engineering Associates International, Inc. and are the sole responsibility of Advanced Engineering Associates International, Inc. and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction and Overview of the SGGA Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan Report	1
2	Person Hours of Training Completed	2
3	Assessments	5
4	Training Performance Evaluations.....	7
5	Tender for Rehabilitation and Drilling of Wells.....	9
6	Tender for Gathering System and Processing Plant.....	11
7	Tender for Petroleum Engineers for Rehabilitation and Drilling of Wells	13
8	Tender for Professional Engineering Support for Gathering System and Processing Plant	15

Abbreviations

GIRoA	Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
MoMP	Ministry of Mines and Petroleum
PMP	Performance Monitoring Plan
SGDP	Sheberghan Gas Development Project
SGGA	Sheberghan Gas Generation Activity
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
USG	United States Government

1 Introduction and Overview of the SGGA Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan Report

This Sheberghan Gas Generation Activity (SGGA) Semi-Annual Performance Monitoring Plan (PMP) Report is a requirement under the approved PMP, as part of the United States Agency for International Development's (USAID) technical assistance for a gas development program in Afghanistan. This work provides assistance to Afghanistan's Ministry of Mines and Petroleum (MoMP), under Task Order No. AID-306-TO-12-00002.

The Task Order provides off-budget technical assistance through Advanced Engineering Associates International, Inc. to serve as a technical advisor to MoMP for the Sheberghan Gas Development Project (SGDP). That support also includes quality assurance/quality control for the \$90 million on-budget funding for SGDP: Phase I: Sheberghan Gas Field Development and Phase II: Gas Processing Plant Construction.

SGGA's Scope of Work focuses on supporting the on-budget contracts processes relevant to SGDP. The scope of this Task Order also includes the design and implementation of a capacity development program for MoMP. It further consists of a program to promote sustainable development of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon sector.

The PMP is a performance management tool used by SGGA to help plan and manage its progress towards its objectives and contains full documentation of the indicators used to track progress.

The PMP requires that SGGA complete status reports for all indicators and collect necessary information from MoMP and construction contractors, review all applicable indicator data, and submit them to USAID on a semi-annual basis at the conclusion of each April and October. Data collected and presented in April will cover the period from 1 October through 31 March, while data collected and presented in October will cover the period from 1 April through 30 September.

This Semi-Annual PMP Report covers the period of 1 April 2014 through 30 September 2014.

2 Person Hours of Training Completed

Objective #: C.3.1: Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement to the MoMP and other relevant institutions for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon reserves
Subtask #: C.4.1: Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon reserves
Indicator #: 4.4.1-34: Person hours of training completed in technical energy fields supported by United States Government (USG) assistance
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator uses the following definitions to express the number of USG-supported training hours that were completed by training participants:</p> <p>Hours: Total number of hours each participant attended USG-supported trainings.</p> <p>People: Only people who complete the training sessions are counted for this indicator.</p> <p>Training: Training is defined as sessions in which participants receive education according to a defined curriculum and set learning objectives. Sessions that could be informative or educational, such as meetings or coaching sessions, but do not have a defined curriculum or learning objectives are not counted as training.</p>
Unit of Measure: Number of hours, persons trained, and training courses
Disaggregated By: Sex (W = Women; M = Men)
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan (GIROA).</p> <p>Training indicators account for the expenditure of USG funds to build country capacity to carry out the desired infrastructure development activities.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
Data collection Methodology: Statistics assembled by the course instructors
Data Source: Attendance records
Method of Acquisition : Conducting attendance verification at each class
Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: At each class and at the examination
Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy
Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: David Bredhoff
Location of data Storage: SGGA offices in Kabul
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: 31 October 2013
Known Data Limitations and Significance (if any): Actual hours of training completion of petroleum engineering training in March 2014 were based on a best estimate from incomplete attendance records.
Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: SGGA provided clear instructions and training to ensure that the instructor maintains complete training records into the future.
Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Prior to completion of the SGGA contract in March 2015.
Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Routine collection of class attendance.
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports
Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports
Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports
Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports

OTHER NOTES			
Other Notes: None			
INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	Hours * People: M: 13 F: 1 Trainings: 19	Hours: F: 632 M: 2,230 People: F: 66 M: 139 Trainings: 9	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) With one exception, SGGA conducted its training courses on an open-enrollment basis for most courses, which did not include specific targets for people or training hours. 2) The only course that included a set attendance and training hours target was the on-site field representative training, later reclassified as the well drilling/rehabilitation oversight training. SGGA first set the target at 20 participants in its Annual Work Plan, but later revised the target to 14 participants based on input from MoMP and fully met the revised target. The drilling oversight training encompassed the targeted trainings of well rehabilitation oversight, well drilling oversight. 3) Project delays in both SGDP's and the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations' gas processing plant construction eliminated the need for gas processing training courses during 2013.
2014	Hours: M: 21,865 F: 4,293 People: M: 565 F: 122 Trainings: 24	Hours: M: 19,064 F: 4,153 People: M: 535 F: 72 Trainings: 24	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The targets for hours and people constitute rough estimates based on prior training statistics and continually shifting interest and demand from MoMP and DABS to participate in certain training activities. The Afghan Petroleum Authority's leadership change from Director General (DG) Jumriany to DG Anwar constitutes one factor in shifting demand as DG Anwar has expressed different training priorities than DG Jumriany had expressed. For SGGA's planned open enrollment trainings SGGA estimated averages of 6 females and 22 males. Person training hours were based on 76% attendance rates obtained in 2013. In some cases the projected hours were based on proposals received by solicited subcontractors and have yet to be confirmed. 2) Some data reflected in 2014 actual training includes best estimates from incomplete training records due to initial instructor misunderstanding about capturing attendance data. 3) SGGA seeks to train as many female employees of MoMP as possible within its training curriculum; however the Afghanistan Petroleum Authority and the Afghanistan Geological Survey employ only a small proportion of females. SGGA makes every attempt to invite every female possible to each class, however total female turnout compares low against male turnout due to staff gender demographics. 4) Non-responsiveness from USAID's Kabul Vetting Support Unit severely hindered SGGA's progress on achieving target goals for 2014. SGGA submitted

			<p>vetting two vetting requests in April and did not hear back from either request as of the end of this six-month reporting period. In the absence of responsiveness from USAID, SGGA has pursued alternative providers which would not require vetting and plans to utilize them to meet training targets prior to SGGA's contract completion in March 2015. Despite this setback, SGGA still exceeded its training targets for this reporting period.</p>
<p>THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER, 2014</p>			

3 Assessments

<p>Objective #: C.3.1 Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement to the MoMP and other relevant institutions for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon resources.</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4.1 TASK 1 Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon resources.</p> <p>Indicator #: Number of professionals evaluated for capacity building purposes.</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the total number of people whom SGGA has assessed for skill qualifications.</p> <p>People: All MoMP staff whom undergo SGGA assessment processes or exams.</p> <p>Assessment: Trainees are assessed through written examinations.</p>
Unit of Measure: Number of people assessed
Disaggregated By: Sex (W = Women; M = Men)
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>Assessing student capabilities is critical to determine knowledge gaps and training programming.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
Data collection Methodology: Statistics are assembled by SGGA's capacity development personnel after conducting assessments.
Data Source: examinations and surveys (where applicable)
Method of Acquisition : Assessment exams, interviews, review of curriculum vitae
Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: After each assessment is completed.
Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy
Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: David Bredhoff
Location of data Storage: SGGA's office in Kabul
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: October 2012
Known data Limitations and Significance (if any): Assessment data may be incomplete or inaccurate based on translation errors or potential misunderstanding of the process by the applicants and/or assessors.
Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Clearly explain test questions. Provide for SGGA's director of capacity development to review assessment examinations prior to implementation. Provide training on test taking and study skills so that students understand how to take assessment tests.
Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Prior to completion of the SGGA contract in March 2015.
Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Periodic collection and review of class assessment exams prior to their implementation and again at SGGA contract completion.
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports
Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports
Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports
Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports
OTHER NOTES
None

INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	*	M: 218 F: 11	SGGA did not define a target number of assessments in its capacity development planning for 2013
2014	M: 565 F: 122	M: 407 F: 57	SGGA computed the target by adding the number of expected trainees for the training courses that have not yet begun to the confirmed trainees that have already undergone assessments. The assessment schedule is in progress and SGGA expects to reach its target by the end of the year.
THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER 2014			

4 Training Performance Evaluations

<p>Objective #: C.3.1 Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement to the MoMP and other relevant institutions for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon resources.</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4.1) TASK 1: Provide technical assistance, training and capacity enhancement for the development and effective utilization of Afghanistan's hydrocarbon resources.</p> <p>Indicator #: Number of MoMP staff whom complete SGGA training performance evaluations.</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the total number of professionals evaluated to ascertain their comprehension of SGGA training programming.</p> <p>People: Only MoMP staff members who participate in SGGA's capacity development programming are counted for this indicator.</p> <p>Evaluation: People are assessed relative to a specific job description or indicated role through assessment questionnaires, CV reviews and subsequent appraisal of the potential to perform adequately after completion of the capacity building.</p>
Unit of Measure: Number (of persons)
Disaggregated By: Sex (W = Women; M = Men)
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and GIRoA. There should be a high likelihood that the combination of staff could perform satisfactorily, or whether supplemental training or even short-to-medium term technical assistance during the operational period would be appropriate to assure safe and sustainable operations and later transition to local staff only when fully capable.</p> <p>Training indicators account for the expenditure of USG funds to build country capacity.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
Data collection Methodology: Statistics assembled by the Capacity Building evaluator
Data Source: Capacity building examination and any addition appraisal
Method of Acquisition : Written examinations and tests
Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: After each evaluation is completed
Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy
Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: David Bredhoff
Location of data Storage: SGGA offices in Kabul
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: October 2012
Known data Limitations and Significance (if any): Evaluation data may be incomplete or inaccurate based on translation errors, potential misunderstanding of the process or evaluation instrument by the participants.
Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Provide clear directions in both English and Dari regarding the examinations and provide training on how to study for and take exams.
Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Prior to completion of the SGGA contract in March 2015.
Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Periodic collection and analysis of evaluations.
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports
Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports
Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports

Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports			
OTHER NOTES			
None			
INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	*	M: 39 F: 3	SGGA did not establish a target for evaluations due to lack of data on how many trainees to expect and had yet to formalize an evaluation process. The data reflected in the actual statistics came from SGGA's procurement training.
2014	M: 565 F: 122	M: 372 F: 94	SGGA is on track to hit its targets by contract completion.
THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER 2014			

5 Tender for Rehabilitation and Drilling of Wells

<p>Objective #: C.3.2. Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4. TASK 2 Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Indicator #: Completion of the tender and related work for rehabilitation and drilling of wells</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the successful completion of tendering the work for rehabilitation and drilling of wells.</p> <p>The indicator total will increase by one, each time an additional number each time a component is completed, e.g., each time well work is completed; otherwise the indicator remains zero.</p>
<p>Unit of Measure: Number of wells completed or abandoned</p>
<p>Disaggregated By: Not applicable</p>
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and GIRoA. On-budget grant of \$30 million will be provided by USAID to the MoMP for rehabilitation and drilling of wells.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
<p>Data collection Methodology: Information prepared by MoMP from contractor and reviewed by SGGA</p>
<p>Data Source: Progress reports and any addition sources from MoMP 's contractor</p>
<p>Method of Acquisition : Collection of contractor's progress reports provided to MoMP plus verification from MoMP 's representatives</p>
<p>Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: After each well is completed or abandoned</p>
<p>Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy</p>
<p>Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: Wayne Register</p>
<p>Location of data Storage: MoMP offices in Kabul</p>
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
<p>Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: Q3, 2013</p>
<p>Known data Limitations and Significance (if any): Delayed or inaccurate reports</p>
<p>Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Diligent collection, review and maintenance of progress reports from MoMP 's drilling contractor</p>
<p>Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Prior to completion of the SGGA contract in March 2015.</p>
<p>Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Periodic collection and comparison of progress reports</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
<p>Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
OTHER NOTES
<p>Other Notes: None</p>

INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	3	0	After all the bidders in the initial tender were disqualified, a second tender was required. Due to that and other delays no wells were drilled in 2013.
2014	3	0	Due to the drilling contractor's extensive performance delays, SGGA no longer expects that it will complete the well drilling/rehabilitation work under its current contract with MoMP.

THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER, 2014

6 Tender for Gathering System and Processing Plant

<p>Objective #: C.3.2. Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4. TASK 2. Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Indicator #: Completion of the tender and related work for gathering system and processing plant.</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the successful completion of the gathering system and processing plant.</p> <p>The indicator total will increase by one when the work is completed, otherwise the indicator will be zero.</p>
<p>Unit of Measure: Number of gathering systems and processing plants completed</p>
<p>Disaggregated By: Not applicable</p>
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and GIRoA. On-budget grant of \$60 million will be provided by USAID to the MoMP for the gathering system and processing plant.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
<p>Data collection Methodology: Information prepared by the construction contractor and reviewed by SGGA</p>
<p>Data Source: Construction contractor progress reports and any addition sources</p>
<p>Method of Acquisition : Collection of progress reports from construction contractor plus verification from MoMP site manager</p>
<p>Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Monthly reports after successful tender of gas gathering and processing plant construction contract</p>
<p>Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy</p>
<p>Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: Wayne Register</p>
<p>Location of data Storage: SGGA offices in Kabul</p>
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
<p>Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment:</p>
<p>Known data Limitations and Significance (if any): None</p>
<p>Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations:</p>
<p>Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Prior to completion of the SGGA contract in March 2015.</p>
<p>Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Periodic collection and comparison of progress reports</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
<p>Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
OTHER NOTES
<p>Other Notes: None</p>

INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	0	0	
2014	0	0	<p>Three important events have caused SGGA to reassess planning for assisting MoMP in tendering the construction of a gas gathering and processing plant: (1) Market research determined that the \$60 million initially allocated under the on-budget SGDP program is insufficient to finance an appropriate gas processing plant and that the estimate should be closer to the \$110 million noted in the SGDP collaboration agreement. (2) No funding commitment is stated in the SGDP grant or implementation agreements for the gathering system, making a tender for the system impossible absent amendments to the funding documents. (3) MoMP has awarded or is tendering several gas exploration contracts, which will likely increase the demand for gas processing, requiring a larger gas plant than initially conceived.</p> <p>In response, USAID and SGGA have studied and begun planning for a private investment strategy that would likely solve all three of these key concerns and not require additional USG or GIRoA funding. Because of the change in approach, an estimated one year delay will occur in order to develop complete data, attract investor interest, and create an appropriate investment vehicle.</p>
THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER 2014			

7 Tender for Petroleum Engineers for Rehabilitation and Drilling of Wells

<p>Objective #: C.3.2. Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4. TASK 2. Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Indicator #: Completion of the tender and related work for rehabilitation and drilling of wells</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the successful hiring of petroleum engineers for the rehabilitation and drilling of wells. The indicator total will increase by one, each time a petroleum engineer is hired; otherwise the indicator will be zero.</p>
<p>Unit of Measure: Number of professional engineers hired</p>
<p>Disaggregated By: Not applicable</p>
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and GIRoA. The on-budget grant of \$30 million will be provided by USAID to the MoMP for rehabilitation and drilling of wells. The professional engineer was envisioned monitor the drilling operations to ensure compliance with the drilling contract.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
<p>Data Collection Methodology: Professional engineer signs contract and then arrives on site</p>
<p>Data Source: Signed contract and correspondence from MoMP confirming arrival</p>
<p>Method of Acquisition : Collection of contract copy plus MoMP verification of arrival</p>
<p>Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Twice: once, when the contract for the services is signed and second upon MoMP's verification of the engineer's arrival at the drilling site.</p>
<p>Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy</p>
<p>Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: Wayne Register</p>
<p>Location of data Storage: SGGA offices in Kabul</p>
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
<p>Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: Not applicable</p>
<p>Known Data Limitations and Significance (if any): None</p>
<p>Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations:</p>
<p>Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Not applicable</p>
<p>Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Not applicable</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
<p>Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
OTHER NOTES
<p>Other Notes: None</p>

INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	2	0	The petroleum engineering tender failed because the only bid received exceeded MoMP's budgetary limitations four-fold. The estimated budget was \$700K but the proposed price was \$2.8M.
2014	0	0	No plans have been made to re-tender this work after observing the results of the first tender process which showed a lack of interest from industry and unrealistic pricing.
THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER 2014			

8 Tender for Professional Engineering Support for Gathering System and Processing Plant

<p>Objective #: C.3.2 Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Subtask #: C.4. TASK 2: Assist the MoMP with implementation of infrastructure activities necessary to identify, quantify and develop gas fields, such as testing and re-working existing wells, drilling new wells, and construction of a gas processing plant.</p> <p>Indicator #: Completion of the tender and related work for the gathering system and processing plant</p>
DESCRIPTION
<p>Definitions:</p> <p>This indicator counts the successful hiring of professional engineering support (either individuals or an engineering firm) for the gathering system and processing plant. The indicator total will increase by one, each time an individual engineer or a firm is hired; otherwise the indicator will be zero.</p>
<p>Unit of Measure: Number (completed gathering system or processing plant)</p>
<p>Disaggregated By: Not applicable</p>
<p>Justification/Management Utility:</p> <p>The development of the Sheberghan gas fields and related infrastructure, including increased electricity generation and transmission, is a shared objective of the USG and GIRoA. On-budget financial assistance of \$60 million will be provided by USAID to the MoMP for the gathering system and processing plant. The professional engineers will monitor the work to ensure compliance with the gathering system and processing plant contract.</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ACQUISITION BY USAID
<p>Data collection Methodology: Execution of contract and deployment of engineer(s)</p>
<p>Data Source: Signed contract and MoMP verification of deployment</p>
<p>Method of Acquisition: Review of contract and MoMP verification</p>
<p>Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Once – contract review and deployment verification</p>
<p>Individual (s) responsible at USAID: Jules Jordy</p>
<p>Individual responsible for providing data to USAID: Wayne Register</p>
<p>Location of data Storage: SGGA offices in Kabul</p>
DATA QUALITY ISSUES
<p>Date of Initial Data Quality Assessment: Not applicable</p>
<p>Known data Limitations and Significance (if any): None</p>
<p>Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations:</p>
<p>Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Not applicable</p>
<p>Procedures for Future Data Quality Assessments: Not applicable</p>
PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW and REPORTING
<p>Data Analysis: Analyzed in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Presentation of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Review of Data: By USAID in semi-annual reports</p>
<p>Reporting of Data: In semi-annual reports</p>
OTHER NOTES
<p>Other Notes: None</p>

INDICATOR VALUES			
Year	Target	Actual	Notes
2013	0	0	
2014	2	0	Due to the delay in the gas processing plant construction, the professional engineering tender did not occur.
THIS SHEET UPDATED ON: 30 OCTOBER 2014			