



THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA
Ministry of Health

Uganda Routine Immunisation Coverage for July - December 2012

Ministry of Health/Uganda National Expanded Program on Immunization (MOH/UNEPI) and other partner institutions are working towards achieving goals as stipulated in the Health Sector Strategic and Investment Plan (HSSIP plan 2010/2011 – 2014/15). The Uganda Ministry of Health recognizes the importance of improving the quality of data generated by the National Health Management Information System (HMIS) for successful planning, delivery and implementation of the Minimum Health Care Package as indicated in the HSSIP. To achieve the above, all districts need to provide quality data for decision makers, who will combine this information with other strategic information from other agreed upon sources to make evidence-based decisions.

In a bid to improve quality of routine immunization data, MOH/UNEPI and the Ministry of Health Resource Centre in conjunction with Maternal and Child Health Integrated Program (MCHIP), WHO, UNICEF, CDC and other partners are establishing a newspaper pull-out to share analyzed routine immunization data and information on selected indicators on a quarterly basis. In response to the data and information published in the **Newspaper pull-out**, districts and other stakeholders are expected to make and send comments to

the addresses given below.

UNEPI, Ministry of Health Resource Center and other partners will make official response to the comments in an **EPI Bulletin** to be published biannually. These strengthened channels of communication between MOH/UNEPI, the districts and other stakeholders are expected to achieve the following objectives:

1. To continuously harmonize data in districts with data sent to Ministry of Health Resource Center
2. To strengthen dialogue and peer learning among the Ministry of Health/UNEPI and districts on routine immunization
3. To improve data quality and conduction of data quality self-assessment (DQS) with an aim of improving the quality of the data
4. To sustainably improve routine immunization coverage in Uganda.

Given the data in this Newspaper pull-out, I take this opportunity to thank you so much for the good work. I note that the performance of districts based on RED categorization can be summarized as in the table below:

S/N	Measurement description	RED Category	Interpretation	No. of districts Qter 1	No. of districts Qter 2
1	DPT1>90%, DTP1-3 DOR <10%	1	Good access and Good Utilization: Community is easily accessing and utilizing service.	30	34
2	DPT1>90%, DTP1-3 DOR >10%	2	Good access and Poor Utilization Many targeted children are given DPT1 But do not continue to complete up to DPT3	52	46
3	DPT1<90%, DTP1-3 DOR <10%	3	Poor access and good Utilization Many targeted children do not come for DPT 1 but those who come start on DPT1,continue to completion to DPT3	13	15
4	DPT1<90%, DTP1-3 DOR >10%	4	Poor access and Poor Utilization Many targeted children do not come for DPT1 and even the few who come for DPT1 do not return to complete DPT3	16	17
5	No Data submitted	-	Cannot be categorized	1	0

NB: All NEGATIVE DPT1-3 dropout rates (DOR) indicate poor data quality and management.

This data was extracted from the District Health Information Software II (DHIS2) on the 26th December, 2012, and 15th April, 2013 for the first and second quarters of the FY 2012/2013 respectively. Any data that had not been submitted by that time is not included in the summaries in this pull out.

Data verification was done based on the data extracted from the DHIS2 in the selected districts (Sironko, Kapchorwa, Kumi, Soroti, Katakwi, and Iganga) on DPT1, DPT3 and Measles immunization coverage. The verified data was then used to update the DHIS data in this pull out. These districts are hereby asked to modify their DHIS2 reports based on the verification reports.

All districts are called upon to do individual data quality self-assessments (DQSs) of their health facility data and make the necessary amendments in the DHIS2.

All District Health Officers (DHOs), District EPI focal persons and district leaders are urged to utilize the categorization above, and brainstorm on the root cause(s) underlying the performance and come up with solutions to improve performance in subsequent quarters.

While the Ministry of Health and its partners are to address the immunization challenges at the National level, Districts should concentrate on discussing and solving routine immunization challenges at the district, Health Sub-district, Health Facility and routine immunization outreaches.

Comments on this data should be sent to the following email addresses:

1. MOH Resource Center - hmisdatabank@yahoo.com
2. UNEPI - unepimoh@gmail.com

Dr. Jacinta Sabiiti
Program Manager

UNEPI

This advert is proudly sponsored by USAID Maternal and Child Health Integrated Project.

WHAT IS THIS NEWSLETTER INSERT? Uganda 2012/13 1st and 2nd Quarters Routine Immunization (RI) data - Districts

WHERE DID THE INFORMATION COME FROM? RI data submitted to Resource Centre

WHAT IS THE GOAL? Increase immunization coverage to at least 80% with all vaccines in every district

HOW CAN THIS INSERT BE USED? By sharing your concerns, seeing who is doing well, and learning from others

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT? High routine immunization coverage is important to ensure reductions in vaccine preventable diseases, but there in recent years there has been a serious decline in regularity and quality of immunization services.

Signed: Dr. Jacinta Sabiiti
PM UNEPI

Analysis of District Routine immunization Data (July - September 2012)

Goal : Increase immunization coverage to at least 90% with all vaccines in every district

Criteria
DPT1 coverage
90%
Drop-out Rate
10%

Area Name	Compile population, immunization coverage data in previous 4 months							Analyse Problem						
	Target Population 1st qtr 2012/13	Doses of vaccine administered			Immunization coverage (%)			Unimmunized (No.)		Drop-out (rates (%))		Identify problem (see table 2*)		Categorize problem according to table 2**
		DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT3	Measles	DPT1-DPT3	DPT1-Measles	Access	Utilization	
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o
Abim	607	989	1,109	1312	163%	183%	216%	-502	-705	-12%	-33%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Adjumani	4,040	1,596	1,235	1312	40%	31%	32%	2805	2728	23%	18%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Agago	3,222	2,644	3,144	3589	82%	98%	111%	78	-367	-19%	-36%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Alebtong	2,430	1,014	996	1062	42%	41%	44%	1434	1368	2%	-5%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Amolatar	1,370	2,411	1,834	1576	176%	134%	115%	-464	-206	24%	35%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Amudat	1,222	807	746	552	66%	61%	45%	476	670	8%	32%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Amuria	4,369	2,068	1,642	1891	47%	38%	43%	2727	2478	21%	9%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Amuru	1,922	1,591	1,608	1736	83%	84%	90%	314	186	-1%	-9%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Apac	3,752	2,727	2,063	1959	73%	55%	52%	1689	1793	24%	28%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Arua	8,350	7,494	6,255	5998	90%	75%	72%	2095	2352	17%	20%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Budaka	1,923	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	1923	1923	N/A	N/A	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Bududa	1,941	2,306	2,470	2484	119%	127%	128%	-529	-543	-7%	-8%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bugiri	4,588	4,725	4,211	3592	103%	92%	78%	377	996	11%	24%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Buhweju	1,089	1,284	1,412	583	118%	130%	54%	-323	506	-10%	55%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Buikwe	4,618	4,454	3,572	4892	96%	77%	106%	1046	-274	20%	-10%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bukedea	2,004	2,079	1,919	1939	104%	96%	97%	85	65	8%	7%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Bukomansimbi	1,656	989	949	941	60%	57%	57%	707	715	4%	5%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Bukwo	789	1,039	764	837	132%	97%	106%	25	-48	26%	19%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bulambuli	1,348	1,217	946	1218	90%	70%	90%	402	130	22%	0%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Buliisa	869	1,186	1,051	947	137%	121%	109%	-182	-78	11%	20%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bundibugyo	2,813	2,077	2,009	1763	74%	71%	63%	804	1050	3%	15%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Bushenyi	2,703	2,708	2,361	2331	100%	87%	86%	342	372	13%	14%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Busia	3,199	2,204	1,926	2282	69%	60%	71%	1273	917	13%	-4%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Butaleja	2,377	1,724	1,311	1750	73%	55%	74%	1066	627	24%	-2%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Butambala	1,069	905	681	641	85%	64%	60%	388	428	25%	29%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Buvuma	594	1,382	1,127	1066	232%	190%	179%	-533	-472	18%	23%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Buyende	2,850	1,112	1,006	912	39%	35%	32%	1844	1938	10%	18%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Dokolo	1,972	1,661	1,497	1568	84%	76%	80%	475	404	10%	6%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Gomba	1,643	1,832	1,570	1461	112%	96%	89%	73	182	14%	20%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Gulu	4,262	5,661	5,043	6026	133%	118%	141%	-781	-1764	11%	-6%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Hoima	5,900	5,452	4,585	4368	92%	78%	74%	1315	1532	16%	20%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Ibanda	2,747	2,419	2,183	1999	88%	79%	73%	564	748	10%	17%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Iganga	5,371	4,703	3,809	3976	88%	71%	74%	1562	1395	19%	15%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Isingiro	4,517	4,833	4,315	3757	107%	96%	83%	202	760	11%	22%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Jinja	5,389	5,753	5,209	4839	107%	97%	90%	180	550	9%	16%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kaabong	4,248	4,034	3,741	1967	95%	88%	46%	507	2281	7%	51%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kabale	5,357	4,807	4,906	4959	90%	92%	93%	451	398	-2%	-3%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kabarole	4,468	5,083	4,032	4108	114%	90%	92%	436	360	21%	19%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Kaberamaido	2,141	1,889	1,776	1444	88%	83%	67%	365	697	6%	24%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Kalangala	713	558	915	1196	78%	128%	168%	-202	-483	-64%	-114%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kaliro	2,327	2,238	1,573	2063	96%	68%	89%	754	264	30%	8%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Kalungu	1,905	3,342	3,238	3165	175%	170%	166%	-1333	-1260	3%	5%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kampala	18,525	13,746	10,991	14511	74%	59%	78%	7534	4014	20%	-6%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kamuli	5,384	9,181	8,679	8128	171%	161%	151%	-3295	-2744	5%	11%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kamwenge	3,569	2,418	1,843	2456	68%	52%	69%	1726	1113	24%	-2%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kanungu	2,710	2,249	2,041	1926	83%	75%	71%	669	784	9%	14%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Kapchorwa	1,227	974	961	952	79%	78%	78%	266	275	1%	2%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Kasese	8,039	6,378	6,285	5948	79%	78%	74%	1754	2091	1%	7%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Katakwi	1,901	1,391	1,280	1251	73%	67%	66%	621	650	8%	10%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Kayunga	3,856	4,542	4,182	3948	118%	108%	102%	-326	-92	8%	13%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kibaale	7,324	4,886	3,212	3434	67%	44%	47%	4112	3890	34%	30%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kiboga	1,775	1,888	1,867	1473	106%	105%	83%	-92	302	1%	22%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kibuku	1,953	1,627	1,296	1346	83%	66%	69%	657	607	20%	17%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kiruhura	3,234	3,743	3,458	2822	116%	107%	87%	-224	412	8%	25%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kiryandongo	3,413	2,675	2,462	2241	78%	72%	66%	951	1172	8%	16%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Kisoro	2,734	2,781	2,731	3008	102%	100%	110%	3	-274	2%	-8%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kitgum	2,664	2,146	2,105	2154	81%	79%	81%	559	510	2%	0%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Koboko	2,547	2,324	2,146	1365	91%	84%	54%	401	1182	8%	41%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kole	2,493	1,789	1,292	1446	72%	52%	58%	1201	1047	28%	19%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kotido	2,508	2,730	3,260	2708	109%	130%	108%	-752	-209	-19%	1%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Kumi	2,747	2,071	2,232	1997	75%	81%	73%	515	750	-8%	4%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kween	1,110	701	585	581	63%	53%	52%	525	529	17%	17%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Kyankwanzi	1,966	1,893	1,772	1323	96%	90%	67%	194	643	6%	30%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kyegegwga	1,718	2,090	1,982	1868	122%	115%	109%	-264	-150	5%	11%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Kyenjojo	4,124	4,540	4,709	3873	110%	114%	94%	-585	251	-4%	15%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Lamwo	1,841	1,208	1,199	1359	66%	65%	74%	642	482	1%	-13%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Lira	4,333	4,564	4,905	3750	105%	113%	87%	-572	583	-7%	18%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Luuka	2,805	3,592	2,967	2407	128%	106%	86%	-162	398	17%	33%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Luwero	4,732	4,517	4,614	4542	95%	98%	96%	118	190	-2%	-1%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Lwengo	2,873	2,885	2,105	2482	100%	73%	86%	768	391	27%	14%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Lyantonde	862	270	264	224	31%	31%	26%	598	638	2%	17%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Manafwa	3,951	6,632	5,299	4064	168%	134%	103%	-1348	-113	20%	39%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Maracha	2,142	1,902	1,714	1626	89%	80%	76%	428	516	10%	15%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Masaka	2,705	2,962	2,666	2672	110%	99%	99%	39	33	10%	10%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Masindi	3,788	3,596	2,557	2238	95%	67%	59%	1231	1550	29%	38%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2

This advert is proudly sponsored by USAID Maternal and Child Health Integrated Project.

Area Name	Compile population, immunization coverage data in previous 4 months							Analyse Problem						
	Target Population 1st qtr 2012/13	Doses of vaccine administred			Immunization coverage (%)			Unimmunized (No.)		Drop-out (rates (%))		Identify problem (see table 2*)		Categorize problem according to table 2**
		DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT3	Measles	DPT1-DPT3	DPT1-Measles	Access	Utilization	
Mayuge	4,958	5,368	4,531	4029	108%	91%	81%	427	929	16%	25%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Mbale	4,744	6,521	6,534	6517	137%	138%	137%	-1790	-1773	0%	0%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Mbarara	4,790	4,692	4,170	4006	98%	87%	84%	620	784	11%	15%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Mitooma	2,110	1,749	1,239	1235	83%	59%	59%	871	875	29%	29%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Mityana	3,350	4,075	3,615	3321	122%	108%	99%	-265	29	11%	19%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Moroto	1,462	1,373	1,111	1178	94%	76%	81%	351	284	19%	14%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Moyo	4,434	1,108	862	1042	25%	19%	23%	3572	3392	22%	6%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Mpigi	2,317	3,043	2,843	2349	131%	123%	101%	-526	-32	7%	23%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Mubende	6,564	7,158	5,753	4698	109%	88%	72%	811	1866	20%	34%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Mukono	5,923	6,059	5,706	5720	102%	96%	97%	217	203	6%	6%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Nakapiripirit	1,737	2,417	2,064	2074	139%	119%	119%	-327	-337	15%	14%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Nakaseke	2,054	1,497	1,173	1300	73%	57%	63%	881	754	22%	13%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Nakasongola	1,682	1,645	1,543	1221	98%	92%	73%	139	461	6%	26%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Namaingo	2,497	3,275	2,529	2041	131%	101%	82%	-32	456	23%	38%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Namutumba	2,353	3,205	2,479	1878	136%	105%	80%	-126	475	23%	41%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Napak	2,125	2,157	2,252	2245	101%	106%	106%	-127	-120	-4%	-4%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Nebbi	3,722	3,360	2,731	2579	90%	73%	69%	991	1143	19%	23%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Ngora	1,692	1,521	1,640	1317	90%	97%	78%	52	375	-8%	13%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Ntoroko	904	747	633	627	83%	70%	69%	271	277	15%	16%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Ntungamo	5,161	4,256	4,148	4438	82%	80%	86%	1013	723	3%	-4%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Nwoya	581	997	1,005	1221	172%	173%	210%	-425	-641	-1%	-22%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Otuke	925	950	931	987	103%	101%	107%	-7	-63	2%	-4%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Oyam	4,073	4,540	3,897	3169	111%	96%	78%	176	904	14%	30%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Pader	2,491	1,396	1,582	1561	56%	64%	63%	909	930	-13%	-12%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Pallisa	3,898	3,783	2,688	2269	97%	69%	58%	1210	1629	29%	40%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Rakai	5,207	3,884	3,646	3600	75%	70%	69%	1561	1607	6%	7%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Rubirizi	1,337	1,630	1,624	1271	122%	121%	95%	-287	66	0%	22%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Rukungiri	3,454	2,928	2,841	3086	85%	82%	89%	613	368	3%	-5%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Sembabule	3,162	2,263	1,838	1344	72%	58%	43%	1324	1818	19%	41%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Serere	2,367	1,500	1,362	1294	63%	58%	55%	1005	1073	9%	14%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Sheema	2,576	2,982	2,787	3543	116%	108%	138%	-211	-967	7%	-19%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Sironko	3,462	3,032	3,110	4547	88%	90%	131%	352	-1086	-3%	-50%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Soroti	2,361	2,215	2,084	1919	94%	88%	81%	277	442	6%	13%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Tororo	5,245	5,058	4,939	4194	96%	94%	80%	306	1051	2%	17%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Wakiso	14,745	12,040	11,041	12863	82%	75%	87%	3704	1882	8%	-7%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Yumbe	5,864	3,120	3,038	3119	53%	52%	53%	2826	2745	3%	0%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Zombo	2,363	2,884	2,164	2602	122%	92%	110%	199	-239	25%	10%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
UGANDA	366,913	341,106	304,225	298,588	93%	83%	81%	62688	68325	11%	12%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Category 1 = high coverage (>90%), low drop out (<10%)														
Category 2 = high coverage (>90%), high drop-out (>10%)														
Category 3 = low coverage (<90%), low drop-out (<10%)														
Category 4 = low coverage (<90%), high drop-out (>10%)														

Analysis of District Routine immunization Data (October - December 2012)

Criteria
DPT1 coverage
90%
Drop-out Rate
10%

Goal : Increase immunization coverage to at least 90% with all vaccines in every district

Area Name	Compile population, immunization coverage data in previous 4 months							Analyse Problem						
	Target Population 2nd qtr 2012/13	Doses of vaccine administred			Immunization coverage (%)			Unimmunized (No.)		Drop-out (rates (%))		Identify problem (see table 2*)		Categorize problem according to table 2**
		DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT1	DPT3	Measles	DPT3	Measles	DPT1-DPT3	DPT1-Measles	Access	Utilization	
a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o
Abim	607	908	1169	984	149%	192%	162%	-562	-377	-29%	-8%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Adjumani	4,040	1490	1100	1054	37%	27%	26%	2940	2986	26%	29%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Agago	3,222	3156	3537	2934	98%	110%	91%	-315	288	-12%	7%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Alebong	2,430	2416	1931	2017	99%	79%	83%	499	413	20%	17%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Amolatar	1,370	1970	1724	1444	144%	126%	105%	-354	-74	12%	27%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Amudat	1,222	728	760	1106	60%	62%	90%	462	116	-4%	-52%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Amuria	4,369	2674	2408	2268	61%	55%	52%	1961	2101	10%	15%	Poor	Good	Cat. 3
Amuru	1,922	2119	1885	2211	110%	98%	115%	37	-289	11%	-4%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Apac	3,752	4226	3707	3244	113%	99%	86%	45	508	12%	23%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Arua	8,350	7859	5951	6462	94%	71%	77%	2399	1888	24%	18%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Budaka	1,923	1381	1055	1163	72%	55%	60%	868	760	24%	16%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Bududa	1,941	2137	2135	2566	110%	110%	132%	-194	-625	0%	-20%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Bugiri	4,588	5498	4811	4651	120%	105%	101%	-223	-63	12%	15%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Buhweju	1,089	1367	1329	897	126%	122%	82%	-240	192	3%	34%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Buikwe	4,618	4379	3422	3523	95%	74%	76%	1196	1095	22%	20%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bukedea	2,004	2237	2004	1965	112%	100%	98%	0	39	10%	12%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bukomansim	1,656	930	791	651	56%	48%	39%	865	1005	15%	30%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Bukwo	789	1022	762	733	130%	97%	93%	27	56	25%	28%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bulambuli	1,348	1897	1218	1656	141%	90%	123%	130	-308	36%	13%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bullisa	869	1360	1046	1008	157%	120%	116%	-177	-139	23%	26%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Bundibugyo	2,813	2253	1983	1581	80%	70%	56%	830	1232	12%	30%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Bushenyi	2,703	2742	2501	2159	101%	93%	80%	202	544	9%	21%	Good	Good	Cat. 1
Busia	3,199	2727	1737	2551	85%	54%	80%	1462	648	36%	6%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Butaleja	2,377	2598	1835	2236	109%	77%	94%	542	141	29%	14%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Butambala	1,069	935	682	738	88%	64%	69%	387	331	27%	21%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Buvuma	594	439	315	243	74%	53%	41%	279	351	28%	45%	Poor	Poor	Cat. 4
Buyende	2,850	3949	3096	2882	139%	109%	101%	-246	-32	22%	27%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Dokolo	1,972	1787	1585	1385	91%	80%	70%	387	587	11%	22%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Gomba	1,643	1697	1458	1469	103%	89%	89%	185	174	14%	13%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2
Gulu	4,262	5871	5210	5518	138%	122%	129%	-948	-1256	11%	6%	Good	Poor	Cat. 2

This advert is proudly sponsored by USAID Maternal and Child Health Integrated Project.

