







Best Real Estate Appraisal Practices and the U.S. Experience

Presented to BAPEPAM-LK

Mark F. Bates, MAI, CRE, FRICS

Jakarta - May 8 &10, 2012







Topics

- History of the U.S. Appraisal Profession Regulation
- Highest and Best Use Concept
- IFRS 13 Fair Value
- Property Inspections and Valuation Mixed Use Properties
- Commercial Property Appraisals







History of the U.S. Appraisal Profession Regulation

Benchmarks

- The Roaring 1920's
- 1928 NAREB
- 1929 The Great Depression
- 1932 AIREA (Appraisal Institute)
- 1935 University of Chicago
- 1951 The Appraisal of Real Estate (Text)







Benchmarks cont'd

- 1959 Ellwood Tables (Yield Capitalization)
- 1970 Charles Akerson (Modern Appraisal Theory)
- 1983 DCF (Discounted Cash Flow)
- 1989 FIRREA (Regulation of Appraisers)







FIRREA

- The Appraisal Subcommittee
- National Register Established
- Licensing Administered by 50 States
- The Appraisal Foundation
- Appraisal Standards Board (USPAP)
- Appraisal Qualifications Board
- Appraisal Practices Board (2010)







License Qualifications (Appraisal Foundation)

- Bachelors Degree
- 300 Hours in Appraisal Training
- Examination
- 3,000 Hours of Experience
- Continuing Education (14 hrs per yr)
- USPAP Every 2 Years







MAI Qualifications (Appraisal Institute)

- Bachelors Degree
- 415 Hours in Appraisal Training (13 courses)
- Comprehensive Examination (4-4 hour modules)
- 4,500 Hours of Experience
- Demonstration Report (Thesis)
- Continuing Education (20 hrs per yr)
- USPAP Every 2 Years







Career Path

- Trainee
- Analyst
- Senior Analyst MAI
- Director
- Owner







Today

- 104,873 State Licensed and Certified Appraisers
- 38,064 Certified Appraisers
- 7,500 MAIs







Primary Sources of Business

- Lending Institutions (Banks)
- Government
- REITS/Pension Funds
- Corporations
- Special Servicers (CMBS)
- Litigation Support
- Tax Appeal







Major Players – U.S.A

- Integra Realty Resources
- CB Richard Ellis
- Cushman Wakefield
- Newmark Grubb Knight Frank (Landauer) new
- Colliers PGP new
- Consolidation The Integra Story







Operational Benchmarks

- Revenue per Appraiser
- Revenue Per Capita in Marketplace (Population)
- Average Profit per Appraiser
- Desk Cost per Appraiser
- Average Appraisal Fee
- Average Delivery Time









Highest and Best Use Concept







Where Does Highest and Best Use Fit Into the Valuation Process?







| | | Definition of | the Problem¤ | | |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| ldentification of client/¶ | Type and definition¶ | Date of¶ opinion¶ | Identification characterist | n of Assignment¶ ics¶ Conditions¤ | |
| Intended users¤ | otvalue¤ | of value¤ | (including location an property rig) to be value | d)R | |
| д | | | R. | | |
| | | Scope | of Work¤ | | |
| R | | | R | | |
| | Data O | Collection and | Property Desc | ription¤ | |
| Market Area Data¶ General characteristics¶ of region, city,¶ and neighborhood¤ | | Subject Property Data¶ Specific characteristics of¶ land and improvements, personal property,¶ business assets, etc.¤ | | Comparable Property Data¶ Sales, listings, offerings, vacancies, cost and depreciation,¶ income and expenses, capitalization rates, etc.¤ | |
| R | | | | R. | |
| | | Data A | nalysis¤ | | |
| Market Analysis¶ Demand studies¶ Supply studies¶ Marketability studies¤ | | | | Highest and Best Use Analysis Site as though vacant¶ Ideal improvement¶ Property as improved¤ | |







Definition:

Highest and best use. The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value.







- Site As Vacant
- Site As Improved







As Vacant

Among all reasonable, alternative uses, the use that yields the highest present land value after payments are made for labor, capital, and entrepreneurial coordination. The use of a property based on the assumption that the parcel of land is vacant or can be made vacant by demolishing any improvements.







As Improved

The use that should be made of a property as it exists. An existing improvement should be renovated or retained as is so long as it continues to contribute to the total market value of the property, or until the return from a new improvement would more than offset the cost of demolishing the existing building and constructing a new one.







Consistent Use:

The concept that land cannot be valued on the basis of one use while improvements are valued on the basis of another.







| 1 | | | z |
|---|---|----------|-----------------------|
| | Example—Consistent Use¤ | | |
| ۵ | 1. Property Value (improvements and site) | → | 100,000¶ [¤] |
| | → Highest and Best Use—Commercial¶ → Vacant Site Value → | | <u>- 70,000</u> ¶ |
| | Contributory Value of Improvements | -+ | 30,000¤ |
| D | 2. • Property Value (improvements and site) | -+ | 100,000¶ ¤ |
| | → Highest and Best Use—Factory¶ → Vacant Site Value | | <u>- 25,000</u> ¶ |
| | -+ Contributory Value of Improvements | -+ | 75,000° |
| | | | i¤ |
| | Do Not Mix Steps 1 and 2¶ | | a |
| | → Commercial Use—Vacant Site Value | - | 70,000¶ |
| | → Contributory Value of Factory Improvements | -• | <u>+ 75,000</u> ¶ |
| | → Property Value → | | 145,000¤ |
| | • • | | |







Remember –

that as long as the value of a property as improved is greater than the value of the site as though vacant, the property's highest and best use is as improved. Once the value of the site as though vacant exceeds the value of the improved property, including demolition costs, the highest and best use becomes the use of the site as though vacant.







Ideal Improvement -

The improvement that takes maximum advantage of the site's potential given market demand, conforms to current market standards and the character of the market area, and contains the most suitably priced components; the improvement that represents the highest and best use as though vacant.







Ideal Improvement Example:

A neighborhood contains country-style houses built approximately 35 years ago. These homes range in size from 150 to 170 square meter and typically have three bedrooms. When originally constructed, the houses contained one full bath. Over time, the majority of owners added a half bath, for a total of 1.5 baths. A new subdivision is being built nearby and contains houses of similar size, although all have a minimum of 2.5 baths, with one full bath being part of the master bedroom suite.







Interim Use -

The temporary use to which a site or improved property is put until it is ready to be put to its future highest and best use.







Legal nonconforming use -

A use that was lawfully established and maintained, but no longer conforms to the use regulations of the current zoning in the zone where it is located.







Neighborhood in transition –

A market area undergoing an economic and/or demographic shift.







Excess land –

In regard to a vacant site or a site considered as though vacant, the land not needed to accommodate the site's primary highest and best use. Such land may be separated from the larger site and have its own highest and best use, or it may allow for future expansion of the existing or anticipated improvements. In regard to an improved site, the land not needed to serve or support the 29 existing improvements.







The highest and best use analysis provides conclusions that guide the application of the three approaches to value.

1. Establishes the basis for selection of comparable sale properties for both the site and the improved property. For sales to be comparable, their highest and best use should be similar to that of the subject property.

2. Provides support for the adjustments that may be required and identifies some of the data for measuring appropriate adjustments. 30







3. Provides the basis for estimates of physical deterioration and functional and external obsolescence used in the cost approach.

4. Provides the basis for selection of market rents and multipliers or the basis for forecasts required to apply the income capitalization approach.







1. Highest and Best Use Decision Tree









Four Tests - Highest and Best Use

Physically Possible Legally Permissible Financially Feasible Maximally Productive

Highest and Best Use vs. Intended Use







Legal Permissibility

Legal permissibility is measured by considering legal restrictions, both public and private, on use. Often the appraiser must consider whether there is a reasonable probability of a zoning change in order for the highest and best use to be realized.







Physical Possibility

Physical possibility addresses the basic question of what will fit on the site that is legally permissible. Test considerations include questions relating to both the site as though vacant and the property as improved.







Financial Feasibility

Financial feasibility addresses the question: Is demand sufficient to justify all the costs? If so, is the project financially feasible, creating entrepreneurial incentive? If the response is negative, the project is not financially feasible and should not go forward.






Maximum productivity

The use, from among reasonable and probable alternatives, that satisfies the criteria of physical possibility, legal permissibility, and financial feasibility and that results in the highest present value.







Highest and Best Use Conclusion









IFRS 13 - Fair Value







Fair Value Definition

"the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date".







What you need to know:

- Exist Price
- Highest and Best Use
- Valuation Premise
- Fair Value Hierarchy







Exit Price

- Exit Price vs. Entry Price
- Market Based vs. User Based
- Not Company Specific
- Think "Market" Value







Exit Price Example

- Developer overpays for adjacent site
- Needs to sell the next day
- Is the value what he paid or what he can sell it for?







Highest and Best Use

Physically Possible Legally Permissible Financially Feasible

Highest and Best Use vs. Intended Use







Highest and Best Use Example

Factory Value = 4,000,000 Vacant Land Value = 5,000,000 Demolition Cost = 500,000

Vacant Land Value? Highest and Best Use?







Valuation Premise

- Stand alone or in combination with other assets?
- Which provides Maximum Value?







Example:

- Mixed Use Property (Shopping Center, Office Building and Hotel)
- Shopping Center has more business from hotel vs. stand alone.
- Valuation Premise?







Fair Value Hierarchy

- Level 1 relates to quoted prices for identical assets. Real estate rarely has an identical twin, so valuation normally requires reference to the next level.
- Level 2, which is based on observable values for similar transactions, such as comparable property transactions. Level 2 is logical for real estate.
- Level 3 drops to reliance on subjective and unobservable inputs, such as knowledge, judgment, and experience with the particular market. If Level 3 inputs are applied, sufficient support and discussion is required.







Level 2 and Level 3 Examples

- Level 2 Land Sales of the same size in the same location with consistent prices per SM.
- Level 3 Limited sales data that needs significant adjustments.







Inspections and Valuation - Mixed Use Properties









Recommended Information for Inspections

- Building Plans
- Site Plan
- Leases
- Rent Roll
- Historical Income and Expense Statements
- Deed/Title Report
- Engineering Report
- Property Condition Report
- Personal Property Inventory
- Construction Cost (if recently built
- Tenant by Tenant Expense Reimbursement Reports (3 years)







Recommended Information for Inspections cont'd

- Measurements U.S. BOMA example
- Apples to Apples Comps to Subject
- RSF vs. GBA vs. UBA vs. per room vs. per unit
- Must be based on actions of Market Participants







Income – Rent Roll

SHOPPING CENTER

| Tenant | S.M | Rent/SM/Mo. | Ann. Rent |
|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| Tenant 1 | 5,000 | 15.00 | 900,000 |
| Tenant 2 | 7,200 | 15.50 | 1,339,200 |
| Tenant 3 | 4,500 | 16.00 | 864,000 |
| Tenant 4 | 3,500 | 15.00 | 630,000 |
| Tenant 5 | 2,400 | 19.00 | 547,200 |
| Tenant 6 | 700 | 20.00 | 168,000 |
| Tenant 7 | 1,300 | 19.00 | 296,400 |
| Tenant 8 | 968 | 19.00 | 220,704 |
| Vacant | 3,000 | 19.00 | 684,000 |
| Total | 28,568 | 16.48 | 5,649,504 |







Income Projection - DCF

| INCOME | Base Year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Inflation | | | 5% | 5% | 3% | 3% |
| Base Rent | 5,649,504 | 5,649,504 | 5,931,979 | 6,228,578 | 6,415,436 | 6,607,899 |
| Expense Reimbursements | 4,500,000 | 4,500,000 | 4,725,000 | 4,961,250 | 5,110,088 | 5,263,390 |
| Net Parking Income | 100,000 | 100,000 | 105,000 | 110,250 | 113,558 | 116,964 |
| Percentage Rent | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,050,000 | 1,102,500 | 1,135,575 | 1,169,642 |
| POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME | 11,249,504 | 11,249,504 | 11,811,979 | 12,402,578 | 12,774,656 | 13,157,895 |
| Vacancy & Collection Loss | 10% | 10% | 5% | 20% | 10% | 10% |
| | 1,124,950 | 1,124,950 | 590,599 | 2,480,516 | 1,277,466 | 1,315,790 |
| Collection Loss at 0.0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% |
| | 112,495 | 112,495 | 118,120 | 372,077 | 127,747 | 131,579 |
| Concessions at 0.0% | 3% | 3% | 1% | 5% | 2% | 2% |
| | 337,485 | 337,485 | 118,120 | 620,129 | 255,493 | 263,158 |
| Other Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME | 9,674,573 | 9,674,573 | 10,985,141 | 8,929,856 | 11,113,950 | 11,447,369 |







Expense Projection - DCF

| EXPENSES | per SM | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| inflation | | | | 5% | 5% | 3% | 3% | |
| Real Estate Taxes | 25.00 | 714,200 | 714,200 | 749,910 | 787,406 | 811,028 | 835,358 | |
| Insurance | 2.50 | 71,420 | 71,420 | 74,991 | 78,741 | 81,103 | 83,536 | |
| Common Area Maintenance | 30.00 | 857,040 | 857,040 | 899,892 | 944,887 | 973,233 | 1,002,430 | |
| General/Administrative | 5.00 | 142,840 | 142,840 | 149,982 | 157,481 | 162,206 | 167,072 | |
| Management | 10.00 | 285,680 | 285,680 | 299,964 | 314,962 | 324,411 | 334,143 | |
| Replacement Reserves | 1.50 | 42,852 | 42,852 | 44,995 | 47,244 | 48,662 | 50,122 | |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | | 2,114,032 | 2,114,032 | 2,219,734 | 2,330,720 | 2,400,642 | 2,472,661 | |
| NET OPERATING INCOME | | 7,560,541 | 7,560,541 | 8,765,407 | 6,599,136 | 8,713,308 | 8,974,708 | |
| Discount Rate | 11.00% | | 0.90090 | 0.81162 | 0.73119 | 0.65873 | 0.59345 | 0.53464 |
| Total Discounted | | | 6,811,299 | 7,114,201 | 4,825,231 | 5,739,726 | 5,326,052 | 29,816,509 |







Valuation - DCF

| Reversion | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Year 5 NOI | 8,974,708 |
| | Terminal Rate | 10.50% |
| | Future Value | 85,473,406 |
| | Discount Factor | 0.59345 |
| | Discounted FV | 50,724,306 |
| | Selling Costs | 3% |
| | Net FV | 49,202,577 |
| Valuation | | |
| | Add PV Cash | |
| | Flows | 29,816,509 |
| | Indicated Value | 79,019,087 |
| | Rounded | 79,000,000 |
| | Implied Year 1 Cap Rate | 9.6% |







Income – Rent Roll

OFFICE

| Tenant | S.M | Rent/SM/Mo. A | nn. Rent |
|----------|--------|---------------|------------|
| Tenant 1 | 12,000 | 18.00 | 2,592,000 |
| Tenant 2 | 30,748 | 17.00 | 6,272,592 |
| Tenant 3 | 5,400 | 16.00 | 1,036,800 |
| Tenant 4 | 8,000 | 15.00 | 1,440,000 |
| Tenant 5 | 2,400 | 17.00 | 489,600 |
| Tenant 6 | 616 | 21.00 | 155,232 |
| Vacant | 1,540 | 20.00 | 369,600 |
| Total | 62,932 | 17.03 | 12,863,808 |







Income Projection - DCF

| INCOME | Base Year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Inflation | | | 5% | 5% | 3% | 3% |
| Base Rent | 12,863,808 | 12,863,808 | 13,506,998 | 14,182,348 | 14,607,819 | 15,046,053 |
| Expense Reimbursements | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,050,000 | 1,102,500 | 1,135,575 | 1,169,642 |
| Net Parking Income | 500,000 | 500,000 | 525,000 | 551,250 | 567,788 | 584,821 |
| Percentage Rent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME | 14,363,808 | 14,363,808 | 15,081,998 | 15,836,098 | 16,311,181 | 16,800,517 |
| Vacancy & Collection Loss | 10% | 10% | 10% | 5% | 5% | 10% |
| | 1,436,381 | 1,436,381 | 1,508,200 | 791,805 | 815,559 | 1,680,052 |
| Collection Loss at 0.0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| | 143,638 | 143,638 | 150,820 | 0 | 0 | 168,005 |
| Concessions at 0.0% | 3% | 3% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| | 430,914 | 430,914 | 150,820 | 0 | 0 | 168,005 |
| Other Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME | 12,352,875 | 12,352,875 | 13,272,159 | 15,044,293 | 15,495,622 | 14,784,455 |







Expense Projection - DCF

| EXPENSES | per SM | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Inflation | | | | 5% | 5% | 3% | 3% | |
| Real Estate Taxes | 25.00 | 1,573,300 | 1,573,300 | 1,651,965 | 1,734,563 | 1,786,600 | 1,840,198 | |
| Insurance | 2.50 | 157,330 | 157,330 | 165,197 | 173,456 | 178,660 | 184,020 | |
| Utilities | 15.00 | 943,980 | 943,980 | 991,179 | 1,040,738 | 1,071,960 | 1,104,119 | |
| Cleaning/Janitorial | 10.00 | 629,320 | 629,320 | 660,786 | 693,825 | 714,640 | 736,079 | |
| Grounds | 7.50 | 471,990 | 471,990 | 495,590 | 520,369 | 535,980 | 552,059 | |
| Security | 10.00 | 629,320 | 629,320 | 660,786 | 693,825 | 714,640 | 736,079 | |
| Repairs/Maintenance | 30.00 | 1,887,960 | 1,887,960 | 1,982,358 | 2,081,476 | 2,143,920 | 2,208,238 | |
| General/Administrative | 5.00 | 314,660 | 314,660 | 330,393 | 346,913 | 357,320 | 368,040 | |
| Management | 10.00 | 629,320 | 629,320 | 660,786 | 693,825 | 714,640 | 736,079 | |
| Replacement Reserves | 1.50 | 94,398 | 94,398 | 99,118 | 104,074 | 107,196 | 110,412 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | | 7,331,578 | 7,331,578 | 7,698,157 | 8,083,065 | 8,325,557 | 8,575,323 | |
| NET OPERATING INCOME | | 5,021,297 | 5,021,297 | 5,574,002 | 6,961,229 | 7,170,066 | 6,209,131 | |
| Discount Rate | 12.00% | | 0.89286 | 0.79719 | 0.71178 | 0.63552 | 0.56743 | 0.506€ |
| Total Discounted | | | 4,483,301 | 4,443,560 | 4,954,865 | 4,556,706 | 3,523,228 | 60 ^{1,961,660} |







Valuation - DCF

| Reversion | | | |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | | 6 200 121 |
| | | Year 5 NOI | 6,209,131 |
| | | Terminal Rate | 11.50% |
| | | Future Value | 53,992,446 |
| | | Discount Factor | 0.56743 |
| | | Discounted FV | 30,636,764 |
| | | Selling Costs | 3% |
| | | Net FV | 29,717,661 |
| Valuation | | | |
| | | Add PV Cash Flows | 21,961,660 |
| | | Indicated Value | 51,679,321 |
| | | Rounded | 51,700,000 |
| | Implied Year | 1 Cap Rate | 9.7% |







Valuation – Hotel

Income from Rooms Projection

| GROSS ROOM REVENUE PROJECTION | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| Total Rooms | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Total Room Nights | 109,500 | 109,500 | 109,500 | 109,500 | 109,500 | 109,500 |
| Stabilized Occupancy | 75% | 75% | 75% | 70% | 70% | 70% |
| Projected Room Nights Sold | 82,125 | 82,125 | 82,125 | 76,650 | 76,650 | 76,650 |
| Stabilized ADR | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 83.00 | 82.00 | 83.00 |
| Projected Room Revenue | 6,980,625 | 6,980,625 | 6,980,625 | 6,361,950 | 6,285,300 | 6,361,950 |







Valuation – Hotel

Income Projection - DCF

| | | Appraiser's | Appraiser's | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| APPRAISE | R'S PROJECTIONS | Projection | Projection | Basis | | | | | |
| | | | \$ | | | | | | |
| | REVENUE | | | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| | Rooms | 97.66% | 6,980,625 | | 6,980,625 | 6,980,625 | 6,361,950 | 6,285,300 | 6,361,950 |
| | Food and Beverage | 40.00% | 2,792,250 | % Rm Rev | 2,792,250 | 2,792,250 | 2,544,780 | 2,514,120 | 2,544,780 |
| | Telephone | 0.50% | 34,903 | % Rm Rev | 34,903 | 34,903 | 31,810 | 31,427 | 31,810 |
| | Other Income | 1.90% | 132,632 | % Rm Rev | 132,632 | 132,632 | 120,877 | 119,421 | 120,877 |
| | Total | | 9,940,410 | | 9,940,410 | 9,940,410 | 9,059,417 | 8,950,267 | 9,059,417 |







Valuation – Hotel Expense Projection - DCF

| DEPART. EXP | | | - | | - | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rooms | | 24.00% | 1,675,350 | % Dept. Rev. | 1,675,350 | 1,675,350 | 1,526,868 | 1,508,472 | 1,526,868 | |
| Food & Bev. | | 70.00% | 1,954,575 | % Dept. Rev. | 1,954,575 | 1,954,575 | 1,781,346 | 1,759,884 | 1,781,346 | |
| Telephone | | 150.00% | 52,355 | % Dept. Rev. | 52,355 | 52,355 | 47,715 | 47,140 | 47,715 | |
| Other Income | | 15.00% | 19,894.78 | % Dept. Rev. | 19,894.78 | 19,894.78 | 18,131.56 | 17,913.11 | 18,131.56 | |
| Total | | 37.24% | 3,702,174 | | 3,702,174 | 3,702,174 | 3,374,060 | 3,333,409 | 3,374,060 | |
| GROSS INCOME | | 62.76% | 6,238,236 | | 6,238,236 | 6,238,236 | 5,685,357 | 5,616,858 | 5,685,357 | |
| UNDISTRIB. OPEX | | | | | | | | | | |
| Admin & Gen | | 11.00% | 1,093,445 | % Total Rev | 1,093,445 | 1,093,445 | 996,536 | 984,529 | 996,536 | |
| Marketing | | 6.50% | 646,127 | % Total Rev | 646,127 | 646,127 | 588,862 | 581,767 | 588,862 | |
| Franchise Fee | | 5.50% | 383,934 | % Room Rev | 383,934 | 383,934 | 349,907 | 345,692 | 349,907 | |
| Operations & Maint. | | 5.00% | 497,021 | % Total Rev | 497,021 | 497,021 | 452,971 | 447,513 | 452,971 | |
| Utilities | | 5.00% | 497,021 | % Total Rev | 497,021 | 497,021 | 452,971 | 447,513 | 452,971 | |
| Total | | 33.00% | 3,117,547 | | 3,117,547 | 3,117,547 | 2,841,247 | 2,807,015 | 2,841,247 | |
| GROSS PROFIT | | 31.39% | 3,120,688 | | 3,120,688 | 3,120,688 | 2,844,110 | 2,809,843 | 2,844,110 | |
| Management Fee | | 3.00% | 298,212 | % Total Rev | 298,212 | 298,212 | 271,783 | 268,508 | 271,783 | |
| INC. PRIOR TO F.E. | | 28.39% | 2,822,476 | | 2,822,476 | 2,822,476 | 2,572,327 | 2,541,335 | 2,572,327 | |
| FIXED EXPENSES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Taxes (inc @) | 3% | 5.00% | 497,021 | Projected | 497,021 | 511,931 | 527,289 | 543,108 | 559,401 | |
| Insurance (inc @) | 3% | 300 | 90,000 | \$ per Rm | 90,000 | 92,700 | 95,481 | 98,345 | 101,296 | |
| Other | | | | % Total Rev | | | | | | |
| Replacement Reser. | | 5.00% | 349,031 | % Room Rev | 349,031 | 349,031 | 318,098 | 314,265 | 318,098 | |
| Total | | 10.24% | 936,052 | | 936,052 | 953,662 | 940,868 | 955,718 | 978,794 | |
| Total Expense | | 81.02% | 8,053,986 | | 8,053,986 | 8,071,596 | 7,427,957 | 7,364,650 | 7,465,884 | |
| NOI | | 18.98% | 1,886,424 | | 1,886,424 | 1,868,814 | 1,631,460 | 1,585,617 | 1,593,533 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Discount Rate | 13.00% | 0.88496 | 0.78315 | 0.69305 | 0.61332 | 0.5427664 | 4 0.48032 |
| | | | Total Discount | ed | 1,669,402 | 1,463,555 | 1,130,683 | 972,489 | 864,906 | 6,101,035 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |







Valuation – Hotel

Valuation - DCF

| Reversion | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| | Year 5 NOI | 1,593,533 |
| | Terminal Rate | 12.00% |
| | Future Value | 13,279,442 |
| [| 0.54276 | |
| | Discounted FV | 7,207,549 |
| | Selling Costs | 3% |
| | Net FV | 6,991,322 |
| Valuation | | |
| Add | PV Cash Flows | 6,101,035 |
| I | ndicated Value | 13,092,358 |
| | Rounded | 13,100,000 |
| Implied ` | Year 1 Cap Rate | 14.4% |







Valuation – Consolidated

NOI - DCF

| NOI | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Total |
|------------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Shopping Center | | 7,560,541 | 8,765,407 | 6,599,136 | 8,713,308 | 8,974,708 | |
| Office | | 5,021,297 | 5,574,002 | 6,961,229 | 7,170,066 | 6,209,131 | |
| Hotel | | 1,886,424 | 1,868,814 | 1,631,460 | 1,585,617 | 1,593,533 | |
| Total | | 14,468,263 | 16,208,222 | 15,191,824 | 17,468,991 | 16,777,372 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Discount Rate | 11.50% | 0.89685 | 0.80435 | 0.72138 | 0.64697 | 0.58024 | 0.52039 |
| Total Discounted | | 12,975,911 | 13,037,020 | 10,959,086 | 11,301,958 | 9,734,895 | 58,008,871 |







Valuation – Consolidated

Rates Matrix

| Market | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Average | Weighted |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Discount | % Income | | Average |
| Rate | | | | | | | |
| 11.0% | 52% | 54% | 43% | 50% | 53% | 51% | 5.5% |
| 12.0% | 35% | 34% | 46% | 41% | 37% | 39% | 4.6% |
| 13.0% | 13% | 12% | 11% | 9% | 9% | 11% | 1.4% |
| | | | | | | | 11.5% |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Market | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Average | Weighted |
| Terminal | % Income | | Average |
| Rate | | | | | | | |
| 10.5% | 52% | 54% | 43% | 50% | 53% | 51% | 5.3% |
| 11.5% | 35% | 34% | 46% | 41% | 37% | 39% | 4.4% |
| 12.0% | 13% | 12% | 11% | 9% | 9% | 11% | 1.3% |
| | | | | | | | 11.01% |







Valuation – Consolidated

Valuation

| | Year 5 NOI | 16,777,372 | |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| | Terminal Rate | 11.01% | |
| | Future Value | 152,383,033 | |
| | Discount Factor | 0.58024 | |
| | Discounted FV | 88,418,667 | |
| | Selling Costs | 3% | |
| | Net FV | 85,766,107 | |
| | | | |
| | Add PV Cash Flows | 58,008,871 | |
| | Indicated Value | 143,774,978 | |
| | Rounded | 143,800,000 | |
| Implied Y | ear 1 Cap Rate | 10.1% | |
| | | | |
| Proof | Shopping Center | 79,000,000 | |
| | Office | 51,700,000 | |
| | Hotel | 13,100,000 | |
| | Total | 143.800.000 | |









Commercial Property Appraisals

- Economic Influences
- Market Influences
- Lease Structure
- Chart of Accounts
- Units of Measurement
- Risk Measurement







Commercial Property Appraisal Sample

- Shopping Center LeCroix Plaza
- Office 50 Whitecap
- Industrial Projo Building
- Hotel Marriott Chicopee







QUESTIONS

?????